

# **EXHIBIT 1**

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MILLENIUM HOLDING GROUP, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Case No.
	)	
SUTURA, INC; FUSION CAPITAL	)	CV-S-05-0536-
FUND II, LLC; and FUSION	)	JCM-LRL
CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

---

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARLA AUFDENKAMP

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

MARCH 22, 2006

REPORTED BY: KIMBERLY A. FARKAS, RPR, CCR #741

LS&T JOB NO. 1-46959B

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 2

Page 4

1 DEPOSITION OF CARLA AUFDENKAMP, taken at 3800  
2 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1000, Las Vegas, Nevada,  
3 on Wednesday, March 22, 2006, at 1:05 p.m., before  
4 Kimberly A. Farkas, Certified Court Reporter, in and  
5 for the State of Nevada.

6  
7 APPEARANCES:

8  
9 For the Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant:

10 CONNELLY, ROBERTS & McGIVNEY, LLC

11 BY: MICHAEL CONNELLY, ESQ.

12 One North Franklin Street

13 Suite 1200

14 Chicago, Illinois 60606

15 (312) 251-9600

16 mconnelly@crmlaw.com

17 For the Defendant SUTURA, INC.:

18 SNELL & WILMER

19 BY: SCOTT SANDBERG, ESQ.

20 BY: M. DARON DORSEY, ESQ.

21 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway

22 Suite 1000

23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

24 (702) 784-5200

25 ssandberg@swlaw.com

ddorsey@swlaw.com

INDEX	
WITNESS: CARLA AUFDENKAMP	
EXAMINATION	PAGE
By Mr. Sandberg	6, 148
By Mr. Malone	76

EXHIBITS	
NUMBER	PAGE
130 Secured Promissory Note	27
131 Email String	28
132 June 25, 2004 Email	29
133 July 2 Email	30
134 July 14, 2004 Email	31
135 Form 10QSB	33
136 August 3, 2004 Email	52
137 September 2, 2004 Email	53
138 Email	66
139 September 16, 2004 Fax	69
140 Email	75
141 Bates documents 4862-4901	89
142 Bates documents M02031-M02036	96
143 Bates documents F014041-F014058	103
144 Bates documents M4108-M4223	109
145 Bates documents F011506-F011536	135

Page 3

Page 5

1 APPEARANCES:

2 For the Defendants Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC and  
3 Fusion Capital Partners, LLC:

4 JENNER & BLOCK

5 BY: EDWARD F. MALONE, ESQ.

6 One IBM Plaza

7 Chicago, Illinois 60611-7603

8 (312) 222-9350

9 emalone@jenner.com

10 The Videographer:

11 LITIGATION SERVICES & TECHNOLOGIES

12 BY: STEFAN RICHARDS

13 1640 W. Alta Drive, #4

14 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106

15 (702) 648-2595

16 Also Present: Richard Ham

17 Joshua Scheinfeld

18 Steve Martin

19 Kristina Judge, Paralegal

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 22, 2006;  
2 1:05 P.M.

3 -o0o-

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning  
5 of videotape number one in the deposition of Carla  
6 Aufdenkamp taken by the defense in the matter of  
7 Millenium versus Sutura, Case Number  
8 CV-S-05-0536-JCM-LRL, held at Snell & Wilmer, 3800  
9 Howard Hughes, Suite 1000, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89109,  
10 on March 22nd, 2006 at 1:05 p.m. The court reporter  
11 is Kim Farkas. I am Stefan Richards, the  
12 videographer, an employee of Litigation Services &  
13 Technologies, located at 1640 West Alta Drive, Las  
14 Vegas, Nevada, 89106. This deposition is being  
15 videotaped at all times unless specified to go off  
16 the video record. Would all present please identify  
17 themselves beginning with the witness.

18 THE WITNESS: Carla Aufdenkamp.

19 MR. CONNELLY: Mike Connelly, attorney for  
20 the plaintiffs.

21 MR. SANDBERG: Scott Sandberg, attorney  
22 for Defendant Sutura, Inc.

23 MR. MALONE: Ed Malone, attorney for  
24 Defendants Fusion Capital Partners and Fusion Fund  
25 II.

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaaec63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 10

1 Q. What did you do for Ham Consulting?  
 2 A. I processed insurance applications and was  
 3 also the receptionist and secretary.  
 4 Q. Did you have any ownership interest in Ham  
 5 Consulting?  
 6 A. No.  
 7 Q. Is Ham Consulting currently an existing  
 8 company?  
 9 A. Yes.  
 10 Q. And is it owned by Richard Ham?  
 11 A. Yes.  
 12 Q. Is it still operating?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. Do you do any work for Ham Consulting  
 15 currently?  
 16 A. Very limited.  
 17 Q. What do you do as far as your limited  
 18 work?  
 19 A. Any of the existing insurance clients, if  
 20 they call up, we do answer any, I want to say process  
 21 any insurance clients, if they've got questions in  
 22 regards to their insurance policies.  
 23 Q. So you worked at Ham Consulting before you  
 24 worked at Millenium; is that correct?  
 25 A. That is correct.

Page 11

1 Q. When did you start with Millenium?  
 2 A. In '94.  
 3 Q. And what is Millenium?  
 4 A. It's a public company.  
 5 Q. What does it do?  
 6 A. It's in financial services.  
 7 Q. What kind of financial services?  
 8 A. We're in the process of doing insurance,  
 9 banking and securities.  
 10 Q. Do you have an ownership interest in  
 11 Millenium?  
 12 A. Yes.  
 13 Q. When I say Millenium, I'm referring to the  
 14 plaintiff in this lawsuit. Is that your  
 15 understanding as well?  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. What is your ownership interest in  
 18 Millenium?  
 19 A. I don't know the exact number.  
 20 Q. Is it some percentage of stock?  
 21 A. Yes.  
 22 Q. Do you know approximately what percentage  
 23 it is?  
 24 A. Jointly, in between my husband and I, I  
 25 think it's around 58 percent.

Page 12

1 Q. You hold it jointly with your husband?  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. When did you marry Mr. Ham?  
 4 A. In 1999.  
 5 Q. What is Ameritas?  
 6 A. It's an insurance company.  
 7 Q. And when did you work for them?  
 8 A. That was in about probably '96. I don't  
 9 know the exact date, but somewhere around there.  
 10 Q. Was that in Nebraska?  
 11 A. Yes.  
 12 Q. Was Mr. Ham affiliated with Ameritas?  
 13 A. No.  
 14 Q. What did you do for them?  
 15 A. I processed dental claims.  
 16 Q. And then Eneregeco?  
 17 A. Yes.  
 18 Q. What is that?  
 19 A. It was a limited liability company.  
 20 Q. What did they do?  
 21 A. It was in oil and gas.  
 22 Q. And what did you do for them?  
 23 A. I was just administration.  
 24 Q. And what time period would that have been  
 25 in?

Page 13

1 A. I don't know the exact dates of that.  
 2 Q. Would it have been after Ameritas?  
 3 A. I think it was before that, and then up  
 4 through when it merged into Millenium.  
 5 Q. Eneregeco merged into Millenium; is that  
 6 correct?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. Do you know when that would have taken  
 9 place?  
 10 A. That was in '94.  
 11 Q. So did Mr. Ham have an ownership interest  
 12 in Eneregeco?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. Did you?  
 15 A. Yes.  
 16 Q. Were you with Eneregeco since the time it  
 17 started?  
 18 A. Yes.  
 19 Q. And when would that have been?  
 20 A. I think it was started in '92, '91 or '92.  
 21 Q. And so did Millenium exist before it  
 22 merged with Eneregeco?  
 23 A. Yes.  
 24 Q. There were two separate companies  
 25 operating independently for a time period?

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaec63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 18

1 the phone number off of Mr. Anderson's cell phone.  
 2 Q. Was this in the first conversation you had  
 3 with Mr. Anderson or, I'm sorry, with Mr. Nobles?  
 4 A. Yes.  
 5 Q. So he told you he had gotten the phone  
 6 number for Millenium from Mr. Anderson's cell phone?  
 7 A. That is correct.  
 8 Q. Were you and Mr. Nobles the only two  
 9 people on the call?  
 10 A. Yes.  
 11 Q. And what else did Mr. Nobles tell you on  
 12 this call?  
 13 A. Well, he was just trying to find out  
 14 information and who that number was to.  
 15 Q. Is that all that was discussed in that  
 16 call?  
 17 A. Yes, to my knowledge.  
 18 Q. Did he tell you anything about Sutura or  
 19 that company?  
 20 A. No.  
 21 Q. So when was the first time you were  
 22 introduced to Sutura as a company?  
 23 A. Myself?  
 24 Q. Yes.  
 25 A. That was the first time I knew of Sutura.

Page 19

1 Q. So did Mr. Nobles tell you he was with  
 2 Sutura in his first call?  
 3 A. Yes, he did.  
 4 Q. But just so I'm straight, other than  
 5 asking who it was, who you were, and telling you that  
 6 he had gotten the number from Mr. Anderson, was  
 7 anything else said in that call that you recall?  
 8 A. Just that he was wanting to know who  
 9 Millenium was.  
 10 Q. And did you tell him who Millenium was?  
 11 A. No. I mean, it was a real short  
 12 conversation.  
 13 Q. When was the next time you spoke with  
 14 anybody from Sutura?  
 15 A. I don't recall the length of time.  
 16 Q. Was there a time after that where you  
 17 spoke with someone from Mr. Nobles, for instance?  
 18 A. Yes.  
 19 Q. And would that have been in the May 2004  
 20 time period?  
 21 A. Yes.  
 22 Q. It's your understanding or is it correct  
 23 that Sutura and Millenium ultimately entered an  
 24 agreement where they were going to merge; is that  
 25 correct?

Page 20

1 A. Yes.  
 2 Q. And what is your understanding of how that  
 3 agreement came to be?  
 4 MR. CONNELLY: That calls for a narrative,  
 5 but go ahead.  
 6 THE WITNESS: Initially, it was an  
 7 agreement with Mark Anderson through him. Then after  
 8 that then it was through Tony.  
 9 BY MR. SANDBERG:  
 10 Q. Tony Nobles?  
 11 A. Yes.  
 12 Q. And Millenium?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. And how did the switch from dealing with  
 15 Camden and Mr. Anderson to dealing directly with  
 16 Millenium, how did that occur?  
 17 MR. CONNELLY: Let me just object. You  
 18 mean dealing directly with Sutura?  
 19 MR. SANDBERG: Right. Sutura is dealing  
 20 directly with Millenium.  
 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. Can you repeat the  
 22 question, please.  
 23 BY MR. SANDBERG:  
 24 Q. I think you had said initially that Sutura  
 25 was dealing with Mr. Anderson and that was how the

Page 21

1 merger was dealing and then Sutura was dealing  
 2 directly with Millenium. I know I'm not using your  
 3 words exactly, but is that correct?  
 4 A. No.  
 5 Q. Why don't we go this way. Can you tell me  
 6 the people at Sutura that you recall interacting with  
 7 during your dealings with Sutura.  
 8 A. I didn't deal directly with Sutura.  
 9 Q. You didn't personally interact with anyone  
 10 from Sutura?  
 11 A. Not on any dealings with a merger, no.  
 12 Q. You didn't speak on the telephone with  
 13 anyone from Sutura on any dealings with the merger?  
 14 A. Yes, I did.  
 15 Q. Okay. And who would that have been that  
 16 you spoke with?  
 17 A. I spoke with Tony. I also had spoken,  
 18 someone in his office. I don't remember her name.  
 19 If it was Marilyn or Mary, but someone in his office.  
 20 Q. When you say Tony, you mean Mr. Nobles?  
 21 A. That is correct.  
 22 Q. And you spoke with him on the phone in  
 23 relation to the merger; is that correct?  
 24 A. Questions -- I mean, my conversations with  
 25 Tony did not deal with the merger except for just

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaaec63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 22

1 pertaining to information that I needed to do,  
 2 because I didn't have any, anything to do with the  
 3 merger itself.  
 4 Q. Okay. Did you ever speak with a Mr. Rich  
 5 Babcock?  
 6 A. Only if he had called in would I have  
 7 talked to him.  
 8 Q. What was your title at Millenium in the  
 9 summer of 2004?  
 10 A. Vice president and secretary.  
 11 Q. And what were your day-to-day duties  
 12 during that time period?  
 13 A. I was the secretary for filing, anything  
 14 that needed to be done with the company. Anything  
 15 that the president had asked me to do as far as work  
 16 wise, I would do that. Anything administration wise.  
 17 Q. The president being Mr. Ham?  
 18 A. That is correct.  
 19 Q. And were you on the Board of Directors for  
 20 Millenium as well?  
 21 A. Yes.  
 22 Q. And what did that involve?  
 23 A. I was responsible for the minutes and any  
 24 meetings that we had, the decisions of the company.  
 25 Q. Who were the other members of the Board of

Page 23

1 Directors in the summer of 2004?  
 2 A. Richard Ham.  
 3 Q. It was just the two of you?  
 4 A. That is correct.  
 5 Q. Do you recall at any time if there were  
 6 any other members of the Board of Directors of  
 7 Millenium other than you and Mr. Ham?  
 8 A. Yes.  
 9 Q. Maybe if you could first give me other  
 10 members and then we can talk about what time period  
 11 they were, members of the Board of Directors.  
 12 A. Going back how far?  
 13 Q. Why don't we just go back five years  
 14 before 2004, so from 1999?  
 15 A. From 1999 on, there was no others. Excuse  
 16 me. In early 1999, there was, and I don't remember  
 17 the people's name because I had stepped down off of  
 18 the board at that time.  
 19 Q. Why did you step down?  
 20 A. Because we were in the process of a  
 21 merger.  
 22 Q. Did that merger go through?  
 23 A. It did go through, yes.  
 24 Q. That was with --  
 25 A. But then it was reversed.

Page 24

1 Q. Who was that merger with?  
 2 A. U.S. Home Mortgage.  
 3 Q. Since 2004, have you and Mr. Ham been the  
 4 only members of the Board of Directors of Millenium?  
 5 A. Yes.  
 6 Q. And so I'm clear on your role in the  
 7 Millenium-Sutura merger, it was just simply providing  
 8 information as requested by Mr. Ham; is that correct?  
 9 A. Yes.  
 10 Q. You weren't involved in any negotiations;  
 11 is that correct?  
 12 A. No.  
 13 Q. And in the course of that role in the  
 14 merger, did you make requests of Sutura for  
 15 information?  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. So you would have been contacting people  
 18 from Sutura for that information; is that correct?  
 19 A. Yes.  
 20 Q. And would that have been Mr. Nobles and  
 21 Mr. Babcock?  
 22 A. Mr. Nobles usually would contact, if there  
 23 was an email, would sometimes copy that to  
 24 Mr. Babcock.  
 25 Q. Did you have any contact with anyone from

Page 25

1 Fusion Capital?  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. And who would that have been?  
 4 A. One was Jonathan Cope. There was also  
 5 another person, I don't remember what his name was,  
 6 if it was a George. I may have spoke with Eric  
 7 Brown.  
 8 Q. And would those communications have  
 9 related to the Millenium-Sutura merger?  
 10 A. Partially, yes.  
 11 Q. And what types of communications would you  
 12 have had with people from Fusion Capital related to  
 13 the Sutura-Millenium merger?  
 14 A. Only by providing documents to them.  
 15 Q. Do you know if prior to the time when  
 16 Millenium and Sutura started discussing a merger, if,  
 17 before that, Millenium and Fusion had any  
 18 relationship?  
 19 A. Say that again.  
 20 Q. Do you know whether or not before a  
 21 Millenium-Sutura merger was being discussed, if  
 22 Millenium had any relationship with Fusion Capital?  
 23 A. Yes.  
 24 Q. And what was that relationship?  
 25 A. There was conversations that were going on

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaaec63



CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 66

1 advised anyone from Sutura that Dutchess would  
 2 provide alternative financing?  
 3 A. When you're saying from Millenium, what do  
 4 you mean?  
 5 Q. Either you or Mr. Ham.  
 6 A. No.  
 7 Q. No, you didn't advise Sutura to that  
 8 effect or no, you don't know?  
 9 A. Neither Richard or I advised, no.  
 10 (Exhibit 138 marked)  
 11 BY MR. SANDBERG:  
 12 Q. Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit  
 13 138, which is an email sent from you to Mr. Nobles;  
 14 is that correct?  
 15 A. Yes.  
 16 Q. And did you prepare and send this email?  
 17 Is this one that's sent by you?  
 18 A. Yes.  
 19 Q. And I notice it references some  
 20 information about Millenium pre merger/reverse split.  
 21 Do you see that?  
 22 A. Pre merger -- yes.  
 23 Q. What does that refer -- what does reverse  
 24 split refer to?  
 25 A. Well, when you've got -- if there's a

Page 67

1 reverse split, it can be any amount, whether it's a  
 2 two for one or three for one, or whatever is set by  
 3 the parties.  
 4 Q. And why did you provide this information  
 5 to Mr. Nobles?  
 6 A. Mr. Ham asked me to.  
 7 Q. Did he give you this information?  
 8 A. No.  
 9 Q. Where did this information come from?  
 10 A. I did some calculations.  
 11 Q. And on what information did you base your  
 12 calculations?  
 13 A. Based upon the number of shares that were  
 14 issued and outstanding, and also upon information  
 15 that was provided to me by Mr. Ham.  
 16 Q. And what information was provided to you  
 17 by Mr. Ham?  
 18 A. He told me what I needed to do to  
 19 calculate the numbers.  
 20 Q. And what did he tell you you needed to do?  
 21 A. Well, there was information provided as  
 22 far as the number of shares that Sutura had, and then  
 23 based upon the reverse, which I don't remember what  
 24 that amount was, and I did the calculation.  
 25 Q. At the bottom here it says, In doing it

Page 68

1 this way Sutura and Millenium share the dilution of  
 2 Fusion accordingly.  
 3 Do you see that?  
 4 A. Yes.  
 5 Q. What did you mean by that?  
 6 A. In other words, the reverse merger, the  
 7 25,000 shares that were issued to Fusion, would then  
 8 be divided equally in the 90/10, in the split, the  
 9 dilution, so that both Sutura and Millenium would  
 10 share in that, those shares being issued.  
 11 Q. Did you ever discuss this reverse split  
 12 with anyone other than Mr. Nobles or Mr. Ham?  
 13 A. Not to my knowledge.  
 14 Q. Do you know of an individual named Dennis  
 15 or Denny Burns?  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. Who is that?  
 18 A. He's a shareholder. And he's also done  
 19 some consulting work.  
 20 Q. What kind of consulting work?  
 21 A. Investor relations.  
 22 Q. Do you know where he currently lives?  
 23 A. In Ohio.  
 24 Q. Did he have any role in the  
 25 Millenium-Sutura merger?

Page 69

1 A. No.  
 2 Q. Did you discuss any aspect of the  
 3 Millenium-Sutura merger with him?  
 4 A. No.  
 5 Q. What about Mark Hitchen, have you ever  
 6 heard of him?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. Who is that?  
 9 A. He's a shareholder.  
 10 Q. Did he have any other relationship with  
 11 Millenium other than as a shareholder?  
 12 A. No.  
 13 Q. Do you know where he currently resides?  
 14 A. Somewhere in Canada.  
 15 Q. And did he have any role in the  
 16 Millenium-Sutura merger?  
 17 A. No.  
 18 Q. And did you discuss any aspect of that  
 19 merger with him?  
 20 A. No.  
 21 (Exhibit 139 marked)  
 22 BY MR. SANDBERG:  
 23 Q. You've been handed Exhibit 139, which is a  
 24 fax sent by you to Mr. Babcock on September 16th,  
 25 2004; is that correct?

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaacc63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 70

1 A. Yes.  
 2 Q. And you were forwarding some promissory  
 3 notes and a consulting agreement; is that correct?  
 4 A. Yes.  
 5 Q. And do you know why you were doing that on  
 6 September 16th, 2004?  
 7 A. As far as it was additional information  
 8 that was provided at the request of Carl that we  
 9 forward to Mr. Babcock, to my recollection.  
 10 Q. These promissory notes, first I'll start  
 11 with the second one, \$16,500 promissory note with  
 12 Richard and Carla Ham, was that you and Mr. Ham  
 13 borrowing money from some entity or lending money to  
 14 some entity?  
 15 A. We were lending money to an entity.  
 16 Q. To Millenium?  
 17 A. Yes.  
 18 Q. And was that promissory note, in fact,  
 19 executed?  
 20 A. Yes.  
 21 Q. And did you and Mr. Ham actually lend  
 22 \$16,500 to Millenium?  
 23 A. Yes.  
 24 Q. And is the same true for the \$2,700  
 25 promissory note listed in item 3?

Page 71

1 A. Yes.  
 2 Q. And for the \$3,500 promissory note listed  
 3 in item 4?  
 4 A. Yes.  
 5 Q. And the \$5,000 promissory note listed in  
 6 item 5?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. And why did you make these loans to  
 9 Millenium?  
 10 A. Because the merger had not been completed  
 11 and there was additional expenses that were occurring  
 12 that we needed to pay.  
 13 Q. And what were those expenses?  
 14 A. Just ongoing expenses, whether they be for  
 15 telephone, the auditor's work, just day-to-day  
 16 business.  
 17 Q. Aside from the telephone and auditor's  
 18 work, can you think of any other day-to-day business  
 19 expenses that these loans would have been used for?  
 20 A. Not without going back and looking.  
 21 Q. The consulting agreement with  
 22 ERS Consulting dated September 6, 2004, do you see  
 23 that?  
 24 A. Yes.  
 25 Q. Was ERS Consulting given stock in

Page 72

1 Millenium as part of that consulting agreement?  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. And do you know what services  
 4 ERS Consulting was to provide?  
 5 A. Investor relations.  
 6 Q. Do you know if Millenium ever used an  
 7 individual named Barry Forward for investor  
 8 relations?  
 9 A. Yes.  
 10 Q. And would that have been in the summer of  
 11 2004, around the time that the Sutura merger  
 12 agreement was entered?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. And do you have any understanding of any  
 15 problems between Millenium and Mr. Forward?  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. What is your understanding of those  
 18 problems?  
 19 A. That there was a press release that was  
 20 put out without Millenium's knowledge.  
 21 Q. And how do you know about that?  
 22 A. Because we received phone calls from  
 23 shareholders when it went out.  
 24 Q. Which shareholders were those?  
 25 A. Shareholders that were calling in. I

Page 73

1 don't know who they were.  
 2 Q. Would Mr. Hitchen or Mr. Burns have been  
 3 one of those, any of those shareholders?  
 4 A. They could have been.  
 5 Q. Were you the one who actually received  
 6 those phone calls?  
 7 A. I would have answered the phone, yes.  
 8 Q. And what would the shareholders have said  
 9 regarding this press release?  
 10 A. That there was a press release that was  
 11 out there, and they were excited about the press  
 12 release.  
 13 Q. Anything else?  
 14 A. That's all that I know right now.  
 15 Q. And do you know why -- did they tell you  
 16 why they were excited?  
 17 A. No. Just that they thought it was a good  
 18 press release.  
 19 Q. And did this cause some concerns regarding  
 20 Mr. Forward?  
 21 A. Yes.  
 22 Q. Was that because Millenium believed the  
 23 press release was not authorized?  
 24 A. It wasn't authorized.  
 25 Q. And do you know what was done in response

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaaec63



CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 78

1 with the Securities and Exchange Commission?  
 2 A. As far as drafting of them for submission,  
 3 you mean?  
 4 Q. Well, this is what I'm --  
 5 (Interruption at the door)  
 6 MR. MALONE: You could leave that right  
 7 out there. Thanks.  
 8 BY MR. MALONE:  
 9 Q. Let me try to get at it a different way.  
 10 Could you describe what your role was with respect to  
 11 the public filings? What did you do to get those  
 12 public filings ready to go to the Securities and  
 13 Exchange Commission?  
 14 A. I would work with the auditors on the  
 15 financials, and then I would also put them together,  
 16 give them to Carl. Carl would review them, and then  
 17 he would give his approval, and then I would submit  
 18 them to the EDGAR file.  
 19 Q. Carl being Mr. Ranno?  
 20 A. That is correct.  
 21 Q. What did you do with the auditors? How  
 22 did you work with them?  
 23 A. I submitted the financial information to  
 24 them.  
 25 Q. Did you keep or have any responsibility

Page 79

1 for preparing the company's financial information,  
 2 its books and records that you submitted to the  
 3 auditors?  
 4 A. Yes.  
 5 Q. What was your responsibility?  
 6 A. I would prepare anything that they had  
 7 requested as far as documentation that they need as  
 8 far as supporting documentation. And I would provide  
 9 them with all of the financial information.  
 10 Q. Did you, on a day-to-day basis, did you  
 11 maintain the company's financial books and records?  
 12 A. Yes.  
 13 Q. Did anyone else have any responsibility  
 14 for that?  
 15 A. No.  
 16 Q. In addition to the filings with the  
 17 Securities and Exchange Commission, were you  
 18 responsible for preparing or administering filings  
 19 with other public agencies?  
 20 A. Filings with what?  
 21 Q. Any other public or government agencies?  
 22 A. Yes.  
 23 Q. Did you have responsibility for preparing  
 24 any filings the company might make with the Internal  
 25 Revenue Service?

Page 80

1 A. No.  
 2 Q. Was there anyone in the company who had  
 3 that responsibility?  
 4 A. Yes.  
 5 Q. Who had that responsibility?  
 6 A. Mr. Ham.  
 7 Q. You testified earlier that the company had  
 8 not filed any income tax returns from 1998 through  
 9 2004; correct?  
 10 A. Yes.  
 11 Q. And you said that the reason that those  
 12 income tax returns had not been filed is that they  
 13 had not been prepared, correct?  
 14 A. That is correct.  
 15 Q. Do you know why the income tax returns had  
 16 not been prepared by Mr. Ham?  
 17 A. No.  
 18 Q. You never discussed that with him?  
 19 A. No.  
 20 Q. Are you aware of any filings that the  
 21 company made with the IRS during the period 2000  
 22 through 2004?  
 23 A. No.  
 24 Q. Are you aware that there were no such  
 25 filings?

Page 81

1 A. Yes.  
 2 Q. At the beginning of your testimony this  
 3 afternoon you testified that to the effect that  
 4 Millenium Holding Group is currently in the process  
 5 of developing a financial services business. Is that  
 6 a fair characterization of your testimony?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. How long has Millenium Holding Group been  
 9 in the process of developing a financial services  
 10 business?  
 11 A. Since '99.  
 12 Q. And since 1999, it has not developed, in  
 13 fact, it's not developed a financial services  
 14 business?  
 15 A. We're still working on it.  
 16 Q. Still in process?  
 17 A. Yes.  
 18 Q. So you haven't achieved that goal yet?  
 19 A. That is correct.  
 20 Q. I want to ask you some questions about Ham  
 21 Consulting.  
 22 A. Yes.  
 23 Q. First of all, in response to some of the  
 24 questions that Mr. Sandberg asked you about the  
 25 proposed consulting agreement between Sutura and a

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaac63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 82

Page 84

1 company called Consulting Services Group, you  
 2 testified that Consulting Services was the same thing  
 3 as Ham Consulting Services?  
 4 A. That is correct.  
 5 Q. And are those the same -- are those  
 6 companies the same as Ham Consulting Company?  
 7 A. No.  
 8 Q. You have been employed by Ham Consulting  
 9 Company, correct, in the past?  
 10 A. As far as just as I've got an ownership in  
 11 it, yes.  
 12 Q. You have an ownership interest?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. And you were also employed by that company  
 15 from 1985 through 1984 (sic)?  
 16 A. I've never been employed.  
 17 Q. You've never been employed. Then I  
 18 misunderstood your testimony.  
 19 Do you serve on the Board of Directors of  
 20 Ham Consulting Company?  
 21 A. Yes.  
 22 Q. Do you have any involvement with Ham  
 23 Consulting Services?  
 24 A. Yes.  
 25 Q. What is your involvement with Ham

1 Q. I'm sorry, Ham Consulting Services. It  
 2 would be a lot easier if you gave these companies  
 3 different names.  
 4 A. Just call it Consulting Services because  
 5 that's what it is now.  
 6 Q. All right. Prior to Millenium's  
 7 acquisition of Consulting Services, what services did  
 8 that company provide to insurance clients?  
 9 A. Consulting Services, what did it provide?  
 10 Q. Yes.  
 11 A. We were -- we would actually -- we  
 12 actually had insurance agents that were in Consulting  
 13 Services also to where that's where the national  
 14 marketing organization was, to where we not only  
 15 serviced, you know, insurance clients, but we also  
 16 assisted the insurance agents that were across the  
 17 United States.  
 18 Q. Consulting Services wasn't an insurance  
 19 brokerage firm, was it?  
 20 A. No.  
 21 Q. How did you assist the clients and the  
 22 insurance agents?  
 23 A. They would submit their applications to  
 24 our office. We, in turn, worked with the insurance  
 25 company in getting the applications ready for the

Page 83

Page 85

1 Consulting Services?  
 2 A. Just as far as working with the insurance  
 3 clients.  
 4 Q. I may be getting confused here. I thought  
 5 that you testified earlier that Ham Consulting  
 6 Company was the company that had the insurance  
 7 clients. Is that not correct?  
 8 A. No.  
 9 Q. Let's start from the beginning. What does  
 10 Ham Consulting Company do?  
 11 A. It's a holding company.  
 12 Q. And what are its subsidiaries?  
 13 A. There are no subsidiaries.  
 14 Q. Ham Consulting Services is a company you  
 15 described as a national marketing company?  
 16 A. National marketing organization.  
 17 Q. And that company had insurance clients,  
 18 correct?  
 19 A. That is correct.  
 20 Q. And what services did Ham Consulting  
 21 Company provide to those insurance clients before it  
 22 was, before Ham Consulting Company was acquired by  
 23 Millenium?  
 24 A. Ham Consulting Company didn't have any  
 25 insurance clients.

1 underwriter for them to underwrite the insurance  
 2 application, and we would submit that complete file  
 3 then to the insurance company. So we were kind of an  
 4 in-between between the insurance company and the  
 5 insurance agent.  
 6 Q. In 2000, Millenium acquired Consulting  
 7 Services; is that correct?  
 8 A. Around that time, yes.  
 9 Q. And at the time that it acquired  
 10 Consulting Services, about how many clients did  
 11 Consulting Services have?  
 12 A. I don't recall the exact number at this  
 13 point in time.  
 14 Q. Can you give me a ballpark?  
 15 A. I don't know if it was around 1,800 or  
 16 2,500.  
 17 Q. At that time do you know what,  
 18 approximately what revenues Consulting Services  
 19 received from those clients on an annual basis?  
 20 A. No. I don't recall.  
 21 Q. As of early 2004, was Consulting Services  
 22 still providing services to clients?  
 23 A. Millenium was through Consulting Services.  
 24 Q. Fair. Was Consulting Services a  
 25 subsidiary to Millenium?

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baa0ec63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 86

1 A. No.  
 2 Q. So it was Millenium that was providing the  
 3 services?  
 4 A. That is correct.  
 5 Q. And was it still providing those services  
 6 in early 2004?  
 7 A. In early 2004, yes.  
 8 Q. How many clients did it have by that  
 9 point?  
 10 A. I don't know how many clients it had.  
 11 Q. Was part of your responsibility to service  
 12 those clients, correct?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. Can you say approximately how much time a  
 15 week you spent servicing those clients?  
 16 A. Over the years it has gone down. We  
 17 didn't have any, so the amount of time was very  
 18 limited on servicing the clients.  
 19 Q. And I'm talking about early 2004.  
 20 A. Very, very limited. You know, I mean, if,  
 21 you know, it was maybe even, you know, an hour a  
 22 week, you know, it was pretty limited.  
 23 Q. Was Millenium receiving any revenues from  
 24 those clients by early 2004?  
 25 A. Yes.

Page 87

1 Q. Do you know approximately how much?  
 2 A. Very minimum, maybe 100, 250, somewhere  
 3 around there.  
 4 Q. Two hundred fifty dollars?  
 5 A. Um-hum. So it was very minor.  
 6 Q. And then prior to the proposed merger with  
 7 Sutura, did Millenium sell that insurance company  
 8 that was Consulting Services back to Mr. Ham or some  
 9 company owned or controlled by Mr. Ham?  
 10 MR. CONNELLY: I'm just going to object to  
 11 form in the sense that you used the term insurance  
 12 company and it wasn't an insurance company.  
 13 BY MR. MALONE:  
 14 Q. Prior to the Sutura merger, did Millenium  
 15 sell the agents that it was providing these services  
 16 back to Mr. Ham or a company controlled by Mr. Ham?  
 17 A. Yes.  
 18 Q. And who was, who exactly did it sell the  
 19 company back to?  
 20 A. Richard Ham.  
 21 Q. Richard Ham as an individual?  
 22 A. Yes.  
 23 Q. As far as you know, does Mr. Ham still own  
 24 that company?  
 25 A. Yes.

Page 88

1 Q. Is it still providing services to clients?  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. During the time that Millenium owned the  
 4 agency that was Consulting Services, was that company  
 5 operated out of the same address at which Millenium  
 6 runs its company, at which you reside?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. At some point during the period from 2000  
 9 forward, did you also have any financial interest or  
 10 involvement in a company called Ambel International?  
 11 A. Repeat that again.  
 12 Q. Yes. During the period from 2000 to 2004,  
 13 did you also have a financial interest or some other  
 14 involvement in a company called Ambel International?  
 15 A. Yes.  
 16 Q. What was Ambel International?  
 17 A. It was an inactive corporation.  
 18 Q. When did it become inactive?  
 19 A. I don't recall. I'd have to look it up.  
 20 Q. Why did it become inactive?  
 21 A. We weren't doing any business through it.  
 22 Q. When was Ambel International formed?  
 23 A. I don't know the exact day that it was. I  
 24 know it was in February, but I don't remember what  
 25 year.

Page 89

1 Q. Prior to 2000?  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. At some point did Ambel International have  
 4 a business?  
 5 A. No.  
 6 Q. Ambel International never did anything?  
 7 A. No.  
 8 Q. Did Ambel International own the rights to  
 9 any software or other intellectual property?  
 10 A. No.  
 11 Q. You're not aware that in the year 2000  
 12 Ambel International sold software to Millenium  
 13 Holding Group?  
 14 A. No.  
 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off of the record at  
 16 3:15 p.m.  
 17 (Exhibit 141 marked)  
 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning  
 19 of videotape number two in the continuing deposition  
 20 of Carla Aufdenkamp. Back on the video record at  
 21 3:25 p.m.  
 22 BY MR. MALONE:  
 23 Q. Ms. Aufdenkamp, before we went off the  
 24 record, I was asking you some questions about Ambel  
 25 International. Did you testify that when Ambel

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaaec63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 90

1 International was an active company, you held a  
 2 financial interest in it? Did you hold a financial  
 3 interest in it before it became de-active?  
 4 A. I had a percentage in it, yes.  
 5 Q. What percentage did you hold, do you  
 6 remember?  
 7 A. I don't remember.  
 8 Q. I'm going to hand you what has been marked  
 9 Exhibit 141, which is a document bearing the Bates  
 10 numbers 4862 through 48 -- I'm sorry -- 4901. It is  
 11 a 10KSB filed by Millenium with the Securities and  
 12 Exchange Commission for the fiscal year ended  
 13 December 31, 2000. Is that correct?  
 14 A. Yes.  
 15 Q. Do you know whether you had any role in  
 16 preparing this document?  
 17 A. Yes, I would have been involved at some  
 18 point, yes.  
 19 Q. Would you turn to page 4870.  
 20 A. 48 what?  
 21 Q. 4870.  
 22 A. Okay.  
 23 Q. Do you see item 12, certain relationships  
 24 and related transactions?  
 25 A. Yes.

Page 91

1 Q. Would you read the first sentence under  
 2 item 12, please, out loud.  
 3 A. The software to be used in the operation  
 4 of the company will be leased from Ambel  
 5 International of which the officers and directors of  
 6 the company are majority shareholders.  
 7 Q. Having read that, are you aware of whether  
 8 the company ever leased software from Ambel  
 9 International?  
 10 A. No.  
 11 Q. No, you're not aware?  
 12 A. No.  
 13 Q. And, to your knowledge, Ambel  
 14 International did not own the rights to any software,  
 15 correct?  
 16 A. At this point in time, no.  
 17 Q. No?  
 18 A. Not to my knowledge. But I couldn't tell  
 19 you for sure.  
 20 Q. All right. Apart from Consulting Services  
 21 and Ambel International, are there, and Millenium,  
 22 are there any other companies that you have held a  
 23 financial interest in since 2000?  
 24 A. Now, say that again. From where to where?  
 25 Q. Apart from Consulting Services, Ambel

Page 92

1 International and Millenium Holding Group, are there  
 2 any other companies in which you have held a  
 3 financial interest since 2000?  
 4 A. Okay. In Consulting Services, I've never  
 5 held a financial.  
 6 Q. You've never held a financial?  
 7 A. Not in Consulting Services, no.  
 8 Q. You sat on the Board of Directors,  
 9 correct?  
 10 A. No.  
 11 Q. You sat on the Board of Directors of Ham  
 12 Consulting Company?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. Apart from Millenium Holding Group and  
 15 Ambel International, are there any other companies  
 16 that you have held a financial interest in since  
 17 2000?  
 18 A. Zingers Club.  
 19 Q. Which is a nightclub?  
 20 A. Right.  
 21 Q. Any others?  
 22 A. You said Millenium. Ambel. Fynco.  
 23 Q. What is Fynco?  
 24 A. That is Ambel International.  
 25 Q. When did Ambel -- was Fynco the

Page 93

1 predecessor to Ambel or the successor to Ambel?  
 2 A. That's what it, the name was changed to.  
 3 Q. When was it changed to Fynco?  
 4 A. Either in 2004 -- I think it was 2004.  
 5 Q. Does Fynco have any operations?  
 6 A. No.  
 7 Q. Any other companies?  
 8 A. Not that I can think of off the top of my  
 9 head.  
 10 Q. And apart from the transaction with Ambel  
 11 International that's described in the 2000 10K, which  
 12 you don't have any knowledge or recollection of, have  
 13 any of the companies in which you've held a financial  
 14 interest engaged in any transactions of any sort with  
 15 Millenium between 2000 through 2004?  
 16 A. Not besides Ham Consulting Company.  
 17 Q. And you said Ham Consulting Company is a  
 18 holding company?  
 19 A. Yes.  
 20 Q. What transactions has Ham Consulting  
 21 Company engaged in with Millenium since 2000?  
 22 A. None.  
 23 Q. And have you personally engaged in any  
 24 transactions with Millenium since 2000?  
 25 MR. CONNELLY: I'm going to object to

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baa6ec63



CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 94

1 form. Would you define for her what you mean by  
 2 engaging in transactions.  
 3 MR. MALONE: Sure.  
 4 BY MR. MALONE:  
 5 Q. Have you provided any consulting services,  
 6 loaned any money, or engaged in any sort of  
 7 transaction with the company apart from your role as  
 8 an employee of the company?  
 9 A. There was monies that were given to or  
 10 loaned to under the promissory notes by Mr. Ham and  
 11 myself.  
 12 Q. And those are the promissory notes that  
 13 were listed in Exhibit 139 that Mr. Sandberg asked  
 14 you about?  
 15 A. Yes.  
 16 Q. And those were all entered in 2004?  
 17 A. That is correct.  
 18 Q. Any prior to 2004 that you recall?  
 19 A. Not that I recall.  
 20 Q. You are aware that -- you've testified  
 21 about the sale of the insurance agency from  
 22 Consulting Services to Millenium. In connection with  
 23 that transaction or any other transactions between  
 24 entities owned or controlled by your husband, have  
 25 you had any discussions with him about whether it was

Page 95

1 appropriate for you or him to be involved in the  
 2 approval of those transactions on behalf of  
 3 Millenium?  
 4 A. Can you repeat that one more time, please.  
 5 Q. Sure. I'll try to simplify it. Companies  
 6 either owned or controlled by your husband have  
 7 engaged in transactions with Millenium since 2000,  
 8 correct?  
 9 A. Yes.  
 10 Q. And your husband has personally engaged in  
 11 some transactions with Millenium since 2000, correct?  
 12 A. Yes.  
 13 Q. And were those transactions approved by  
 14 the Millenium Board of Directors?  
 15 A. Yes.  
 16 Q. And the Millenium Board of Directors  
 17 consists of you and your husband?  
 18 A. Yes.  
 19 Q. There are no independent directors?  
 20 A. Not at this time, no.  
 21 Q. At no time since 2000, correct?  
 22 A. That is correct.  
 23 Q. Have you had any discussions with your  
 24 husband about whether it was appropriate for you and  
 25 your husband to approve those transactions on behalf

Page 96

1 of Millenium?  
 2 A. No.  
 3 MR. MALONE: I'm going to ask the court  
 4 reporter to mark this document as Exhibit 142.  
 5 (Exhibit 142 marked)  
 6 BY MR. MALONE:  
 7 Q. The court reporter has marked as Exhibit  
 8 142 a document with Bates M02031 through 2036.  
 9 Do you recognize this document?  
 10 A. Yes.  
 11 Q. What is it starting with the first page  
 12 and then the attachment?  
 13 A. It was an email that I had sent to  
 14 Jonathan Cope. And then it was a due diligence  
 15 request that I had attached.  
 16 Q. Who is Jonathan Cope?  
 17 A. He is with Fusion.  
 18 Q. And were you responding to a request from  
 19 Fusion to provide due diligence regarding Millenium?  
 20 A. Yes.  
 21 Q. Did you compile the due diligence that's  
 22 attached? Let me withdraw that and ask you a  
 23 different way.  
 24 Did you prepare the response, draft the  
 25 response to the due diligence request attached to

Page 97

1 this email?  
 2 A. I assisted with it, yes.  
 3 Q. Who else participated in drafting this  
 4 response?  
 5 A. Richard Ham and also through Carl Ranno.  
 6 Q. Would you turn to the page M02035, and  
 7 look at number 9 at the bottom. What does number 9  
 8 ask -- what sort of information does number 9 ask  
 9 for?  
 10 A. Related party transactions.  
 11 Q. Do you have an understanding of what a  
 12 related party transaction is?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. In fact, there's a definition of it here,  
 15 isn't there, in this document?  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. What's your understanding of a related  
 18 party transaction?  
 19 A. Anything that would be in between us and  
 20 the company as a side.  
 21 Q. Us meaning who? When you say us, who are  
 22 you talking about?  
 23 A. Well, it says officers or directors, so  
 24 that would be Richard and myself.  
 25 Q. And in response to this question for

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaaec63



CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 98

1 related party transactions -- first, do you remember  
 2 whether you prepared the response to number 9?  
 3 A. No, I don't recall.  
 4 Q. What is the response to number 9?  
 5 A. None.  
 6 Q. And based on what you've testified just  
 7 now about transactions between Mr. Ham and companies  
 8 controlled by him and Millenium, is that response  
 9 accurate?  
 10 MR. CONNELLY: I'm going to object to the  
 11 form insofar as it calls for a legal conclusion  
 12 insofar as number 9 asks for material contracts.  
 13 BY MR. MALONE:  
 14 Q. Do you have an understanding in terms of  
 15 material contracts?  
 16 A. Just that it's important.  
 17 Q. Do you know why there were, the response  
 18 to this question was none?  
 19 A. Because there were no significant  
 20 contracts or other companies that were between that.  
 21 Q. And what does the word significant mean to  
 22 you?  
 23 A. That it's a major.  
 24 Q. And what does that mean? I'm just trying  
 25 to understand why there are -- how you determined

Page 99

1 what transactions you would list and which ones you  
 2 would not list?  
 3 A. Well, it says between company and other  
 4 companies. The other companies that we've listed  
 5 means that they are not actively doing any business,  
 6 they aren't major. There's nothing there. They're  
 7 just a company.  
 8 Q. Well, they're just a company, but they  
 9 must be doing something if they are, in fact,  
 10 transacting business with Millenium, right?  
 11 A. Those companies aren't transacting  
 12 business with Millenium.  
 13 Q. But you just testified that Mr. Ham or  
 14 companies controlled by him did, in fact, have  
 15 transactions with Millenium between 2000 and 2004,  
 16 correct?  
 17 A. Just the Consulting Services.  
 18 Q. That's the -- the sale of the Consulting  
 19 Services business, correct?  
 20 A. Yes.  
 21 Q. And then the repurchase of the Consulting  
 22 Services business?  
 23 A. Yes.  
 24 Q. Which happened in about April 2004, right?  
 25 A. Somewhere around there, yes.

Page 100

1 Q. Those are the only transactions between  
 2 Mr. Ham or companies controlled by him that you're  
 3 aware of between 2000, 2004?  
 4 A. Yes.  
 5 Q. Mr. Ham, for instance, never licensed  
 6 software to Millenium?  
 7 A. No.  
 8 Q. You're not aware that in Millenium's  
 9 public filings Millenium stated that Mr. Ham had  
 10 licensed software to Millenium?  
 11 A. There's no licensed software owned by  
 12 Mr. Ham.  
 13 Q. So if Millenium's public filings stated  
 14 that Millenium had licensed software from Mr. Ham,  
 15 that would be wrong?  
 16 A. Mr. Ham does not have any licensed  
 17 software.  
 18 Q. Has Mr. Ham ever had any software that he  
 19 owned and licensed to Millenium?  
 20 A. No.  
 21 Q. Has Millenium ever developed a computer  
 22 system of any kind?  
 23 A. It's in the process.  
 24 Q. How long has it been in the process?  
 25 A. Probably about 10 years.

Page 101

1 Q. And has Millenium contracted with any  
 2 companies to help develop that computer service or  
 3 that computer system?  
 4 A. Yes.  
 5 Q. Who has it contracted with?  
 6 A. IBM, Kirchman. There was Compushare. We  
 7 had also had some draft agreements, I don't know if  
 8 they were finalized, with Ginelco. There was about  
 9 eight or nine different companies. The others I  
 10 don't remember off the top of my head.  
 11 Q. When did Millenium contract with IBM?  
 12 A. That was probably back in 2000.  
 13 Q. Did IBM ever do anything for Millenium?  
 14 A. There was work that was being done, yes.  
 15 Q. What did IBM do?  
 16 A. There was research and development they  
 17 were doing.  
 18 Q. Do you know how much Millenium paid IBM  
 19 for that service?  
 20 A. Nothing.  
 21 Q. And did that service end in 2000?  
 22 A. I don't know when it ended. As far as my  
 23 knowledge, those contracts are still in effect.  
 24 Q. Do you know when the last time IBM did  
 25 work for Millenium?

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaaec63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 102

1 A. No, I do not.  
 2 Q. You can put that back.  
 3 You testified a couple times that you are  
 4 secretary of Millenium's board?  
 5 A. That is correct.  
 6 Q. And in that capacity, you prepare board  
 7 minutes?  
 8 A. Yes.  
 9 Q. How often does Millenium's board meet?  
 10 A. Whenever it is required that we have  
 11 business to discuss.  
 12 Q. Does it meet every year?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. Does it have an annual meeting, a regular  
 15 meeting every year?  
 16 A. We hold meetings throughout the year, yes.  
 17 Q. How often would you say Millenium's board  
 18 meets?  
 19 A. It varies on what is happening.  
 20 Q. Millenium is also required to have annual  
 21 meetings of its shareholders, correct?  
 22 A. Yes.  
 23 Q. And when is the last time that Millenium  
 24 held an annual meeting of its shareholders?  
 25 A. I don't remember off the top of my head.

Page 103

1 Q. Are you aware that the bylaws call for one  
 2 to be held in March?  
 3 A. Yes.  
 4 Q. Was there a meeting of the shareholders --  
 5 is there one scheduled for this year?  
 6 A. No.  
 7 Q. Was there one held last year?  
 8 A. No.  
 9 Q. What about the year before?  
 10 A. No.  
 11 Q. The year before that?  
 12 A. What year is that?  
 13 Q. We're back to 2003.  
 14 A. Not to my knowledge.  
 15 Q. I'm going to have the court reporter mark  
 16 as Exhibit 143 --  
 17 (Exhibit 143 marked)  
 18 BY MR. MALONE:  
 19 Q. The court reporter has marked Exhibit 143  
 20 a document with the Bates number F014041 through  
 21 14058. Do you recognize this document?  
 22 A. Yes.  
 23 Q. What is the document, cover page and  
 24 attachments?  
 25 A. It was a fax to Jonathan cope.

Page 104

1 Q. That's a fax from you to Mr. Cope?  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. And what are you attaching here?  
 4 A. Information as part of the due diligence.  
 5 Q. Going to ask you to turn to page 14049.  
 6 A. Yes.  
 7 Q. What document starts on this page?  
 8 A. The bylaws.  
 9 Q. Bylaws of Millenium?  
 10 A. Of Amex Systems.  
 11 Q. Amex was the predecessor to Millenium?  
 12 A. The name changed, yes, prior to them.  
 13 Q. Name changed in 1999?  
 14 A. Yes. Well, I mean, Amex was first. Then  
 15 it turned into Millenium Holding Group.  
 16 Q. It turned into Millenium Holding Group in  
 17 1999; is that correct?  
 18 A. Yes.  
 19 Q. And these bylaws were in effect at the  
 20 time that it changed names to Millenium and they were  
 21 still in effect in 2004; is that correct? I'm just  
 22 assuming that since these are the bylaws that you  
 23 gave to Mr. Cope in 2004.  
 24 A. That is correct.  
 25 Q. In addition to the meeting requirements

Page 105

1 that we discussed, the document also, bylaws also had  
 2 requirements about the number, tenure and  
 3 qualification of directors, correct? Page 14050,  
 4 article 3.  
 5 A. Yes.  
 6 Q. How many directors did the bylaws require  
 7 Millenium to have?  
 8 A. Not less than three.  
 9 Q. And has Millenium had three directors at  
 10 any time since 2000?  
 11 A. No.  
 12 Q. Why not?  
 13 A. Well, after the merger, the proposed  
 14 merger that was with U.S. Home Mortgage, there were  
 15 three at that time or more. And then when the  
 16 reverse merger was, there was just, I was put on as a  
 17 board member to replace the ones that were with U.S.  
 18 Home Mortgage, and there's never been any since then.  
 19 Q. And my question is why has Millenium not  
 20 appointed a third board member to satisfy the  
 21 requirements of the bylaws?  
 22 A. No other reason than we just financially  
 23 we didn't have another board member on there, number  
 24 one.  
 25 Q. Any other reasons?

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baa6ec63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 106

Page 108

1 A. No.  
 2 Q. Have you ever had any conversations with  
 3 Mr. Ham about the possibility of appointing a third  
 4 board member?  
 5 A. We've had discussions over the time, yes.  
 6 Q. What do you remember about those  
 7 discussions?  
 8 A. That when, whether it was the insurance  
 9 when we actually had brought to where we actually had  
 10 operations that we would bring additional board  
 11 members into the company on the Board of Directors.  
 12 Q. And you haven't had operations?  
 13 A. That is correct.  
 14 Q. Anything else you remember about those  
 15 discussions with Mr. Ham?  
 16 A. No.  
 17 Q. You can put that away.  
 18 In response to some questions from  
 19 Mr. Sandberg, you testified that you think that  
 20 together you and Mr. Ham own about 58 percent of  
 21 Millenium?  
 22 A. Right.  
 23 Q. That's correct?  
 24 A. That is correct.  
 25 Q. And do you and Mr. Ham hold those shares

1 the company?  
 2 A. It was either the last part of November or  
 3 the first part of December.  
 4 Q. And how many shares did you acquire in the  
 5 company approximately?  
 6 A. I don't recall.  
 7 Q. We can look at that 10K to remind you.  
 8 A. Yes.  
 9 Q. And were those shares issued to Mr., to  
 10 you and Mr. Ham, to at least partially compensate you  
 11 for the accrued salaries that you've been accruing  
 12 since 1999?  
 13 A. They were for accrued salaries, yes.  
 14 Q. And so they were compensation?  
 15 A. For accrued salaries, yes.  
 16 Q. And I take it from your testimony earlier  
 17 that you're not aware of any filings that have been  
 18 made with the Internal Revenue Service reporting that  
 19 compensation that was paid to you?  
 20 A. No.  
 21 MR. CONNELLY: Let me -- by Millenium?  
 22 MR. MALONE: By Millenium.  
 23 BY MR. MALONE:  
 24 Q. No?  
 25 A. No.

Page 107

Page 109

1 directly or are some of them held indirectly?  
 2 A. Some of them indirectly.  
 3 Q. And what entity holds the shares that are  
 4 held indirectly?  
 5 A. Ham Consulting Company.  
 6 Q. And you and Mr. Ham are, own all the  
 7 shares of Ham Consulting Company?  
 8 A. No.  
 9 Q. Who else owns shares of Ham Consulting  
 10 Company?  
 11 A. Reginald Shamore.  
 12 Q. Reginald Shamore?  
 13 A. Um-hum.  
 14 Q. Do you and Mr. Ham own the majority of the  
 15 shares?  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. And Mr. Shamore is the only other  
 18 shareholder?  
 19 A. Yes.  
 20 Q. Since July 2004, have you or Mr. Ham  
 21 acquired additional shares of the company?  
 22 A. Since when?  
 23 Q. July 2004.  
 24 A. Yes.  
 25 Q. When did you acquire additional shares in

1 Q. Have you sold any shares in Millenium  
 2 since July 2004?  
 3 A. No.  
 4 Q. Do you know if Mr. Ham has?  
 5 A. No.  
 6 Q. No, he hasn't or no, you don't know?  
 7 A. No, he has not sold any.  
 8 MR. MALONE: I'm going to ask the court  
 9 reporter to mark as Exhibit 144.  
 10 (Exhibit 144 marked)  
 11 BY MR. MALONE:  
 12 Q. Exhibit 144 bears the Bates numbers M4108  
 13 through M4223. It is the December 31, 2004  
 14 10K filing of Millenium Holding Group with the  
 15 Securities and Exchange Commission; is that correct?  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. Would you turn to page 4117. And about  
 18 three-quarters of the way down the page there's a  
 19 paragraph that begins, salary expense for the years  
 20 ended December 31, 2004 and 2003. Do you see that?  
 21 A. Yes.  
 22 Q. That paragraph doesn't say how many shares  
 23 you and Mr. Ham were issued, but it does state the  
 24 amount of compensation that those shares were  
 25 intended to cover, correct?

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baa6c63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 110

Page 112

1 A. Say that again.  
2 Q. That paragraph that I've just identified  
3 states the amount of compensation that the, was being  
4 paid to the shares that you and Mr. Ham were issued  
5 in November 2004, right?

6 A. That is correct.

7 Q. How much was that compensation?

8 A. \$573,375.

9 Q. And do you know what the value of, the  
10 price of the shares that were issued to you or value  
11 of the shares that were issued to you to pay that  
12 compensation was?

13 A. Not off the top of my head, no.

14 Q. And that compensation, \$573,375, do you  
15 know how much was paid to you and how much to  
16 Mr. Ham?

17 A. Not off the top of my head, no.

18 Q. Prior to the -- you can close that up.  
19 Prior to the issuing of shares in November 2004 that  
20 you just testified to, how did you receive shares in  
21 Millenium? Let me withdraw that.

22 When did you, you Carla, first begin,  
23 first receive shares in Millenium Holding Group or  
24 its predecessor?

25 A. Those were the first shares.

Page 111

Page 113

1 Q. You never held shares before November, you  
2 personally never held shares before November 2004?

3 A. Personally, no.

4 Q. You were, between 1999 and 2004, you  
5 accrued salary, correct?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Prior to that, did Millenium Holding Group  
8 pay you a salary?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Were you ever -- prior to November 2004,  
11 did you ever actually receive compensation for any  
12 work you did for Millenium as an employee? Let me  
13 withdraw that. Prior to November 2004, did you ever  
14 receive compensation as an employee of Millenium  
15 Holding Group?

16 A. As an employee?

17 Q. Yes.

18 A. No.

19 Q. And you never received -- you received no  
20 compensation either cash or shares in the company?

21 A. No.

22 Q. And I take it from your testimony before  
23 that you haven't personally engaged in any  
24 transactions with the company since 2000 in which you  
25 received shares as compensation for --

1 A. No.

2 Q. Prior to 2000? I guess the answer is no  
3 since you didn't receive any shares --

4 A. No.

5 Q. Do you know anything about the details of  
6 the transaction by which Millenium acquired the  
7 insurance agency from Consulting Services?

8 A. Now, say that again.

9 Q. I'll withdraw that. I'm going to turn to  
10 a new subject, slightly new subject.

11 As vice president/secretary of the company  
12 since 1999; is that correct?

13 A. '94.

14 Q. '94. You've been vice president and  
15 secretary since '94?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Would you say you're generally familiar  
18 with the company's operations?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. During the period from 2000 to 2004, did  
21 Millenium have any employees besides you and your  
22 company?

23 MR. CONNELLY: You and your company?

24 MR. MALONE: Thank you.

25 BY MR. MALONE:

1 Q. You and your husband.

2 A. No.

3 Q. Did you have any employees, any contract  
4 employees?

5 A. Say what you mean by contract employees.

6 Q. Were there people who were not full-time  
7 employees of the company but who provided services to  
8 the company pursuant to a contract?

9 A. Pursuant to a contract, yes.

10 Q. And who were those people?

11 A. They were consultants, Michael  
12 Hershberger.

13 Q. When was Mr. Hershberger a consultant?

14 A. I don't recall the exact dates.

15 Q. Roughly? Can you give me a ballpark when  
16 he was a consultant?

17 A. Sometime between, I'd say, 2002 and maybe  
18 2003 or ended 2004.

19 Q. Was he paid any compensation under that  
20 contract?

21 A. Shares.

22 Q. Do you know how many shares he got?

23 A. No, I do not.

24 Q. Did he have a written contract?

25 A. Yes.

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaec63



CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 114

1 Q. And what services did Mr. Hershberger  
2 provide?  
3 A. Computer.  
4 Q. Can you be more specific?  
5 A. He was our chief information, CIO.  
6 Q. What did he actually do for the company in  
7 that capacity?  
8 A. He was preparing all for the computer  
9 system, working with IBM, working with all of the  
10 other vendors that we were going to have, the nine  
11 different vendors that were going to be on the  
12 computer system.  
13 Q. Do you know what he actually did with  
14 those vendors?  
15 A. That was in between him and Mr. Ham.  
16 Q. Any other contract employees besides  
17 Mr. Hershberger?  
18 A. Greg Hoshbach.  
19 Q. Greg?  
20 A. Hoshbach.  
21 Q. What did Mr. Hoshbach do?  
22 A. He was working on human resources.  
23 Q. Can you be more specific.  
24 A. Well, we were developing human resources  
25 for the company with the three entities that we were

Page 115

1 preparing with the financial services company on the  
2 insurance, securities and on the banking.  
3 Q. I'm sorry. Could you repeat your answer,  
4 please.  
5 A. He was in the human resources in the  
6 integration that we were working on with the banking,  
7 securities and insurance. We were integrating all of  
8 those into one company. And so he was doing the  
9 human resources as far as getting our manuals put  
10 together that we needed for the development of what  
11 we wanted the company to be when we started bringing  
12 people in to the company.  
13 Q. He was -- but at the time he was working  
14 on this, there were no operations to integrate,  
15 right?  
16 A. No. We were getting everything ready for  
17 the three companies that we were working on putting  
18 together into one company.  
19 Q. Those being insurance, Internet banking  
20 and what was the third?  
21 A. Insurance, banking and then the brokers  
22 securities.  
23 Q. During what period of time was  
24 Mr. Hoshbach under contract with the company?  
25 A. Again, I don't know the exact dates.

Page 116

1 Q. Was it also around the 2002, 2003 time  
2 frame?  
3 A. Somewhere around in that time frame, yes.  
4 Q. Did Mr. Hoshbach have a contract?  
5 A. Yes, he did.  
6 Q. Did he receive any compensation?  
7 A. Yes.  
8 Q. Shares?  
9 A. Yes.  
10 Q. Did Mr. Hershberger and Mr. Hoshbach have  
11 titles in their capacity, the capacities you've  
12 described?  
13 A. Not other than what we had in the  
14 consulting agreements because they were consultants.  
15 Q. Have you ever reviewed any of Millenium's  
16 marketing materials?  
17 A. As far as?  
18 Q. Information that's distributed to  
19 companies like say Fusion, that describe Millenium's  
20 business?  
21 A. Yes.  
22 Q. And some of those materials describe or  
23 list Mr. Hershberger and Mr. Hoshbach in those  
24 materials?  
25 A. Yes.

Page 117

1 Q. And do you recall whether they were given  
2 titles in those materials?  
3 A. I think they do.  
4 Q. Do you know why they were given titles if  
5 they weren't, in fact, employees of the company?  
6 A. Because they were actually doing work for  
7 the company.  
8 Q. Did you have any role in preparing those  
9 materials?  
10 A. Not other than proofing grammatic wise.  
11 Q. Did Mr. Ham?  
12 A. Yes.  
13 Q. Mr. Sandberg asked you some questions  
14 about the salaries that you accrued at Millenium.  
15 You didn't remember exactly how much you had accrued,  
16 right?  
17 A. Yes.  
18 Q. Why don't you take a look at Exhibit 141,  
19 which is the 2000 10K. Would you turn to page 4869,  
20 please. And look at item 10, executive compensation.  
21 A. Yes.  
22 Q. And does that refresh your recollection  
23 about the compensation that you and Mr. Ham were  
24 receiving, were accruing, with the company in the  
25 year 2000?

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baa6ec63



CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 118

1 A. That's what it was started in 1999, yes.  
 2 Q. So the salaries described here were for  
 3 1999 and 2000, that was the rate of compensation you  
 4 were receiving during that period?  
 5 A. I don't know how far that salary went into  
 6 2000 or if it was changed thereafter. Again, I'd  
 7 have to review some of the other filings.  
 8 Q. At least in this public filing, the  
 9 12/31/2000 10K, this was the only salary that you  
 10 reported that you and Mr. Ham were accruing, right?  
 11 A. That is correct.  
 12 Q. And what was the compensation?  
 13 A. For Mr. Ham it was \$4,500, for myself it  
 14 was \$3,000.  
 15 Q. That's per month?  
 16 A. Accrues monthly, yes.  
 17 Q. And how much does that work out about per  
 18 year?  
 19 A. I don't have a calculator.  
 20 Q. Well, in your case, \$3,000 is about  
 21 \$36,000, right?  
 22 A. That sounds right.  
 23 Q. And Mr. Ham's would be slightly above  
 24 \$50,000, right?  
 25 A. Yeah.

Page 119

1 Q. Would you now take a look at whatever I  
 2 labeled as the December 31, 2004 10K.  
 3 MR. CONNELLY: 144.  
 4 BY MR. MALONE:  
 5 Q. Do you have it?  
 6 A. Um-hum, yes.  
 7 Q. And would you look at page, pages 4116  
 8 through 4117, please. Does that refresh your  
 9 recollection about the compensation that you and your  
 10 husband received in fiscal year 2004 or that you and  
 11 your husband accrued in fiscal year 2004?  
 12 A. Yes.  
 13 Q. And what salaries did you and your husband  
 14 accrue in fiscal year 2004?  
 15 A. Richard accrued \$322,500. And mine was  
 16 \$111,300.  
 17 Q. So during the period from 2000 to 2004,  
 18 each of your salaries increased substantially; is  
 19 that correct?  
 20 A. Yes.  
 21 Q. And that was during the period when the  
 22 company had no operations, correct?  
 23 A. Yes.  
 24 Q. And the company had minimal revenues,  
 25 right?

Page 120

1 A. Yes.  
 2 Q. No profits?  
 3 A. Yes.  
 4 Q. And you and your husband approved those  
 5 salary increases for yourselves?  
 6 A. Yes.  
 7 Q. On what basis did you and your husband  
 8 determine that you should receive that additional  
 9 compensation between 2000 and 2004?  
 10 A. Based upon the things that we were  
 11 discussing and different acquisitions that we were  
 12 going into as far as with the insurance, the banking  
 13 and securities. We entered into some employment  
 14 agreements.  
 15 Q. And the company was never successful in  
 16 developing any of those businesses, right?  
 17 A. It hasn't been developed yet, but it's  
 18 still in the process.  
 19 Q. For 10 years?  
 20 A. Yes.  
 21 Q. You can close that up.  
 22 From time to time Millenium Holding Group  
 23 has retained what are called investor relations  
 24 consultants, correct?  
 25 A. Yes.

Page 121

1 Q. Have you had any involvement in either the  
 2 retention or the oversight of those consultants?  
 3 A. No.  
 4 Q. Do they always report to Mr. Ham?  
 5 A. Yes.  
 6 Q. Do you ever communicate with any of them?  
 7 Let me withdraw that. In 2004, are you aware of what  
 8 investor relation consultants Millenium had retained  
 9 in 2004?  
 10 A. If you give me some documentation, I can.  
 11 Q. Was one of them Ruder Finn?  
 12 A. Yes.  
 13 Q. Did you ever have any communications with  
 14 someone from Ruder Finn named Montieth Illingworth?  
 15 A. Yes.  
 16 Q. Did I pronounce his name correctly?  
 17 A. Yes.  
 18 Q. I'm going to show you what's been  
 19 previously marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 99. This  
 20 isn't the copy that's been marked.  
 21 Do you recognize that document?  
 22 A. It has my name on it that a copy went to  
 23 me, but I don't remember seeing it.  
 24 Q. Would you look down the front page to item  
 25 4E. Do you see that?

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaac63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 122

1 A. Yes.  
 2 Q. Would you read item 4E.  
 3 A. One of our overarching concerns here is  
 4 that this will likely be seen as a reverse merger  
 5 which, as we all know, have been getting a bad wrap  
 6 in the press of late. We need to discuss how to deal  
 7 with that and position this accordingly.  
 8 Q. Did you ever have any discussions with  
 9 Mr. Illingworth or anyone else at Ruder Finn about  
 10 how to position, about the fact that the  
 11 Sutura-Millennium deal was a reverse merger and how  
 12 that should be positioned?  
 13 A. No.  
 14 Q. Did you ever have any conversations with  
 15 anyone else about that subject?  
 16 A. No.  
 17 Q. Did you ever have any conversations with  
 18 Barry Forward of Vosnuevo Communications?  
 19 A. As far as what do you mean by  
 20 communications?  
 21 Q. Conversations, email exchanges, any sort  
 22 of communications?  
 23 A. Yes.  
 24 Q. Tell me about your communications with  
 25 Barry Forward.

Page 123

1 A. When we had a press release going out, he  
 2 and I would work together on getting those ready to  
 3 be released. If he would call in, I would answer the  
 4 phone call. But other than that, I really didn't  
 5 have any conversations with Barry.  
 6 Q. Did you have any conversations with your  
 7 husband about the work that investor relations people  
 8 were doing for Millennium in the period say July  
 9 through September 2004?  
 10 A. As far as what do you mean as far as what  
 11 kind of --  
 12 Q. Any conversations you can remember with  
 13 him about the work that they were doing apart from  
 14 what you've already testified to about Barry Forward  
 15 being fired because he hadn't shown that press  
 16 release to Mr. Ham before it went out?  
 17 A. No.  
 18 Q. No, you didn't have any conversations or  
 19 no, you don't recall specific conversations?  
 20 A. Don't recall specific conversations.  
 21 Q. Do you have any understanding of what  
 22 investor relations consultants do?  
 23 A. Yes.  
 24 Q. What is your understanding?  
 25 A. They work with the public on, actually

Page 124

1 with the shareholders on getting information out to  
 2 the public about companies.  
 3 Q. Did you have any conversations with your  
 4 husband about what he had specifically asked Barry  
 5 Forward to do?  
 6 A. No.  
 7 Q. Did you have any conversations with your  
 8 husband about what he had asked any other investor  
 9 relations person to do in the period July through  
 10 September 2004?  
 11 A. No.  
 12 Q. Do you know how Millennium compensated the  
 13 investor relations consultants it hired in 2004?  
 14 A. Yes.  
 15 Q. How did it compensate them?  
 16 A. Shares.  
 17 Q. Do you know whether those shares were  
 18 restricted or free trading?  
 19 A. Restricted.  
 20 Q. Did you have any involvement in issuing  
 21 those shares to the investor relations consultants?  
 22 A. Yes.  
 23 Q. Do you know whether the shares that were  
 24 restricted to them always bore legends indicating  
 25 that they were restricted?

Page 125

1 A. Yes.  
 2 Q. Did you have any conversations with  
 3 anybody at Fusion regarding the proposed merger  
 4 agreement between Sutura and Millennium?  
 5 A. Yes.  
 6 Q. Tell me about those conversations. First  
 7 of all, who did you have them with?  
 8 A. I don't remember exactly, but I think  
 9 Jonathan Cope was one. And it was only limited as  
 10 far as the schedules and getting information about  
 11 Millennium to Fusion.  
 12 Q. Do you recall whether you had any  
 13 conversations with anyone at Fusion about the  
 14 Millennium-Sutura merger after July 9, 2004 when the  
 15 merger agreement was signed?  
 16 A. Not other than in the schedules and  
 17 getting information to them, no.  
 18 Q. Would it be fair to say that your husband  
 19 was the person at Millennium --  
 20 A. That is correct.  
 21 Q. You've got to let me finish my question.  
 22 A. I'm sorry.  
 23 Q. Is it fair to say that your husband was  
 24 the person at Millennium who was responsible for  
 25 handling that merger deal?

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaaec63

CARLA AUFDENKAMP - 03/22/06

Page 154

1 diligence, and I realize you haven't articulated all  
 2 of those, and legal fees, are you aware of any other  
 3 out-of-pocket costs that Millenium claims it incurred  
 4 as a result of any claimed breach by Sutura?  
 5 A. Say that again.  
 6 Q. Aside from diligence costs and legal fees,  
 7 are you aware of any other out-of-pocket costs that  
 8 Millenium claims to have incurred as a result of any  
 9 alleged breach by Sutura?  
 10 A. Well, again, there would be the  
 11 accountants fees, the transfer agent fees.  
 12 Q. Aside from those and the other expenses  
 13 you've already talked about, are there any other fees  
 14 you can think of or any other costs you can think of?  
 15 A. Right now, no.  
 16 Q. And do you have any idea the amount of  
 17 costs that were incurred, even if it's an  
 18 approximation, for diligence costs?  
 19 A. No, I don't.  
 20 Q. And what about for legal fees?  
 21 A. I don't know. Not off the top of my head.  
 22 MR. SANDBERG: Nothing further.  
 23 MR. MALONE: Do you want to mark it  
 24 confidential?  
 25 MR. CONNELLY: I do. I want to mark it

Page 155

1 confidential.  
 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the  
 3 deposition of Carla Aufdenkamp on March 22nd, 2006,  
 4 which consists of two videotapes. The original  
 5 videotapes will be retained by Litigation Services &  
 6 Technologies. Off of the video record at 5:07 p.m.  
 7 (Deposition concluded at 5:07 p.m.)  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25

Page 156

1 CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT  
 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON  
 3  
 4  
 5  
 6  
 7  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14 \* \* \* \* \*  
 15 I, Carla Aufdenkamp, deponent herein, do hereby  
 16 certify and declare the within transcription to be my  
 17 deposition in said action; that I have read,  
 18 corrected and do hereby affix my signature to said  
 19 deposition.  
 20 \_\_\_\_\_  
 21 Carla Aufdenkamp, deponent  
 22 STATE OF NEVADA )  
 23 ) SS:  
 24 COUNTY OF CLARK )  
 25 Subscribed and sworn to before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day  
 26 of \_\_\_\_\_, 2006.  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Notary Public

Page 157

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER  
 2 STATE OF NEVADA )  
 3 ) SS:  
 4 COUNTY OF CLARK ),  
 5 I, Kimberly A. Farkas, a duly commissioned  
 6 Notary Public, Clark County, State of Nevada, do  
 7 hereby certify: That I reported the taking of the  
 8 deposition of CARLA AUFDENKAMP, commencing on  
 9 Wednesday, March 22, 2006 at 1:05 p.m.  
 10 That prior to being examined, the witness was  
 11 duly sworn by me to testify to the truth. That I  
 12 thereafter transcribed my said shorthand notes into  
 13 typewriting, and that the typewritten transcript of  
 14 said deposition is a complete, true and accurate  
 15 transcription of said shorthand notes.  
 16 I further certify that I am not a relative or  
 17 employee of an attorney or counsel of any of the  
 18 parties, nor a relative or employee of an attorney or  
 19 counsel involved in said action, nor a person  
 20 financially interested in the action.  
 21 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand  
 22 in my office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada,  
 23 this 5th day of April, 2006.  
 24 \_\_\_\_\_  
 25 Kimberly A. Farkas, CCR 741

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

LITIGATION SERVICES &amp; TECHNOLOGIES

16440efe-f37c-43a0-a70a-c719baaaec63